

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**  
**(Case No 05-981-H5; (EX03-086C-US))**

**In the Application of:** )  
Tai *et al.* )  
**Serial No.:** 10/535,447 )  
**Filing Date:** October 31, 2006 )  
**For:** CCT6s as Modifiers of the RB )  
Pathway and Methods of Use )  
Examiner: Yu, Misook )  
Group Art Unit: 1642 )  
Confirmation No. 8814 )

## RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

This paper is filed in response to the restriction requirement mailed on August 11, 2008, in the above-mentioned application. Applicants submit herewith a petition for a five month extension of time and the appropriate fee. It is believed that no further fee is due in connection with this filing. However, if a fee is due the Commissioner is authorized to charge our deposit account 13-2490.

## Restriction Requirement

With respect to the restriction requirement, Applicants hereby elect with traverse Group I, allegedly drawn to a screening method, for prosecution on the merits. The claims readable thereon are claims 1-12 and 16-19.

With respect to the species restriction requirement, Applicants hereby elect with traverse a cell proliferation assay for prosecution on the merits. The claims readable thereon are claims 1-12 and 16-19.

Claims 1-12 and 16-19 are generic with respect to the elected species. As stated in the Restriction Requirement, upon allowance of a generic claim Applicants will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all of the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141.

### **Conclusion**

If the Examiner has any questions regarding this response, he is invited to call the undersigned attorney.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: February 11, 2009

/Anita J. Terpstra/  
Anita J. Terpstra, Ph.D.  
Registration No. 47,132

McDonnell, Boehnen,  
Hulbert & Berghoff LLP  
300 S. Wacker Drive  
Chicago, IL 60606  
(312) 913-0001